

ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES SEMINAR 2013

Integrated Streetscene Services

Dave Henrys

Associate Consultant APSE Solutions

www.apse.org.uk

Integrated Streetscene Services



- What are the ways and means?
- How does it impact on performance?
- What are we learning from APSE Performance Networks?
- What are the pros and cons?

Performance Networks apse



- Dynamic performance measurement model
- Established in 1998
- Largest voluntary public sector benchmarking model of its kind
- Over 200 local authorities currently in membership across 15 front-line services
- 15 years of management information available
- Developed and led by practitioners

Streetscene Services



• APSE State of the Market 2012



Why integrate services?



- Reducing budgets
- Multi-tasking opportunities
- Potential for upskilling
- Public perceptions of quality / cleanliness etc.
- Consistency of standards
- Neighbourhood pride
- Community involvement

Ways & Means



- Three distinct groupings of authorities responding
 - No streetscene operation, services continuing to be managed and operate separately
 - Services amalgamated under one manager but continue to operate independently of each other
 - Full integrated streetscene service under one distinct manager with combined duties for staff

Profiles



Profiles



Profiles

	SS1	SS2	\$\$3
Population	161,525	116,600	160,500
Area (hectares)	24,048	12,003	55,664
Population density	6.06	10.23	2.92
FTE staff – street cleansing	60.00	25.80	58.37
No. of street cleansing vehicles	25.50	16.33	29.00
Average grass cuts (Parks)	18	17	17.5
Average grass cuts (Other)	14.5	15	16
Hectares maintained per 1000 head of population	4.20	2.75	4.90
(using med	dian (mid-point) of data	range)	

Data Analysis

Costs

• Could include costs from elements outside of streetscene classification (e.g. enforcement, arboriculture) ... HOWEVER :-

	SS1	SS2 £	SS3 £
	£		
Parks – cost per household	47.45	29.47	59.58
Street cleansing – cost per household	33.53	34.94	37.64
Total of above cost per household	82.01	62.70	100.91

Data Analysis

Costs (cont'd)

• Cost elements – expect management cost ratio to be lowest on services where management has been integrated **BUT** ...

	SS1	SS2 %	SS3 %
	%		
Staff costs as % of total costs	62.60	63.84	64.55
Front line staff costs as % of total costs	55.13	50.06	53.70
Management costs as % of total costs	7.09	9.67	6.74

Data Analysis

Quality & Customer Satisfaction

- Quality Sample sizes too small to draw conclusions
- Customer Satisfaction SS2 & SS3 have the best scores but SS1 authorities may have greater customer expectations

	SS1 %	SS2 %	SS3 %
Customer satisfaction: street cleansing	65.00	78.50	82.00
Customer satisfaction: parks	70.20	88.00	81.38

Integrated StreetsceneProsv.Cons

- Efficiency savings
- Economy of scale
- Upskilling / wider skill base
- Neighbourhood approach
- "Can do" (everything) approach
- Shared resources
- Better communication
- Depot rationalisation
- Plant / fuel cost savings
- Increased pride in work
- Better sickness / holiday cover



- Perception of "dumbing down" for skilled workers
- Demarcation
- May not be suited to larger rural authorities
- Increased training costs
- Competences for new roles
- Raised profile raises customer expectations
- Potential redundancies





Contact details

Dave Henrys, Associate

Email: mail@eqip.co.uk

For APSE Solutions enquiries please contact Andy Mudd, Principal Consultant Email: amudd@apse.org.uk



Association for Public Service Excellence 2nd floor Washbrook House, Lancastrian Office Centre, Talbot Road, Old Trafford, Manchester M32 0FP. telephone: 0161 772 1810 fax: 0161 772 1811 web:www.apse.org.uk