

Communities and Local Government Committee Report on Public Parks (January 2017)

Findings and Recommendations



The Purpose of the Report

Committee established to look at:

- Roles of parks
- The challenges facing the sector
- Future sustainability
- Conclusions and recommendations









Q/ Why Do Parks Matter?

Widely recognised multiple benefits

- Health and well-being
- Environmental benefits
- Social benefits
- Economic benefits
- VFM £1: £36 'natural capital'







Challenges facing the Parks Sector



- Different needs different users
- Alternative funding sources Newcastle 97% funding loss.
- Alternative management models
- Reliance on volunteers/ FOPG
- Reduced maintenance/ closure of facilities
- Loss of community links Ranger Services
- Loss of skills base/succession planning
- Health and Safety
- Access to capital and revenue funding 'investment and decline cycle'
- Development pressures

Sustaining the future for parks



- Volunteers additionality not replacement
- Alternative models, asset transfers, parks trusts 'added value'
- Need to retain local authority skills and democratic responsibility
- Pathfinder support limited funding to be available
- Statutory duty?
- Parks Champions
- Links to Health and Well-Being / parks and green space strategies.
- Parks forums and Parks Managers networks
- Central co-ordination and leadership Minister and cross-departmental WG





- Welcomes report and raising of profile of parks at Government level
- Elements of 'Ground-hog Day'
- Value of parks clearly seen by many
- Parks entrenched in corporate and stakeholder objectives important, but is it new?
- Sustainability of volunteers/ skilled workforce/ apprenticeships
- Greater consultation and transparency already practiced.
- The need for income generation
- Growing use of parks
- Use of S106 and CIL to cover revenue costs
- Alternative models do they work?
- Protecting democracy and transparency
- Information sharing
- Core funding avoiding 'tipping the balance'.
- Will parks really be a government priority?